

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/608,892 | SIEGEL ET AL. |
| | Examiner Sandra M. Nolan-Rayford | Art Unit 1772 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Sandra M. Nolan. (3) Dr. Phill Hanson [on 6-27-05].
 (2) Mary Louise Gioeni (518/452.5600) [on 6-8-05]. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 and 27 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-8, 21 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

S. M. Nolan-Rayford
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)